

Application No. 10/568,326  
Amendment Dated May 20, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of January 23, 2008

### **REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed January 23, 2008 has been carefully considered by Applicant. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing claim amendments and the remarks that follow.

#### **Allowable Claims**

Claims 2-13 are indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By the present Amendment, claim 2 is rewritten in independent form and includes the limitations of claim 1. Claim 2 is therefore in condition for allowance.

Claims 9 and 10 are amended to depend from claim 2 and are thus also in condition for allowance.

Claim 3 is rewritten in independent form and includes the limitations of cancelled claim 1. As such, claim 3 is in condition for allowance. Claims 4-8 and 11-15 depend directly or indirectly from claim 3 and are thus also in condition for allowance.

#### **Claim Rejection**

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Essebaggers U.S. Patent No. 6,290,090. Claim 1 is hereby cancelled, thus rendering the rejection thereof moot.

#### **Conclusion**

The present application is thus believed in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By



Peter T. Holsten  
Reg. No. 54,180

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202  
Telephone: (414) 271-7590  
Facsimile: (414) 271-5770